

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 respect?

2 A No, because I selected four pages. I elected to  
3 use four pages.

4 Q That information was not factored at all into  
5 your survey design?

6 A Sure it was, in the sense that had I been told  
7 that everybody went -- that everybody navigated in one  
8 specific way, that would have altered my thinking about  
9 what stimulus or stimuli to present. My recollection is  
10 I was told that they navigate in many different ways and  
11 on average five or six different pages are viewed. I  
12 elected to use four pages.

13 Q And how did you choose the states of  
14 California, Alabama, Arizona, Maryland and New Mexico?

15 A California was a fait accompli. I was told the  
16 issue was relevant to the state of California. In  
17 subsequent conversations, I think it was I who asked are  
18 all states DMV states, and the answer was no, some states  
19 are not DMV states, they are, like, DOT states or some  
20 other nomenclature.

21 So it seemed reasonable to expand the inquiry to  
22 see if people residing in, as it were, non-DMV states  
23 took a different view of life than people who resided in  
24 a DMV state.

25 Q Is California a DMV state?

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 Q Are you aware that Maryland does not have online  
2 traffic schools?

3 A I'm not aware of that.

4 Q Would that have affected your surveys in any  
5 way?

6 A I don't know.

7 Q Now, we've picked 42 percent, or 351 people, in  
8 paragraph 13 of your report in the so-called rebuttal  
9 survey portion. You will see -- I'll quote it for the  
10 record, make it clear.

11 Quote, there were a total of 834 respondents,  
12 490 in the test group seeing DMV.ORG and 344 in the  
13 control group seeing CAR.ORG. Of these, 58 percent, or  
14 483, were conducted with California residents and 42  
15 percent minus 351 with residents of Alabama, Arizona,  
16 Maryland and New Mexico, close quote.

17 How many of those 351 were in the state of  
18 Alabama?

19 A As I sit here today, I don't know. I would  
20 reasonably hazard a guess that roughly one fourth of  
21 them.

22 Q Okay. So approximately 87 respondents?

23 A Approximately one fourth, sure.

24 Q 87?

25 A Five.

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 Q I'm just rounding it. You can quibble --

2 A I have no intention of quibbling. I did not  
3 report them separately.

4 Q You did not?

5 A I did not.

6 Q As I sit here today, there's no way for you to  
7 tell me what the perceptions of any one of those four  
8 states was, Alabama, Maryland, Arizona, New Mexico. You  
9 can't separate those states out; is that correct?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q Did Mr. Daucher tell you which of those four  
12 states has a DMV and which does not as its motor vehicle  
13 group?

14 A The reason that those states were selected was  
15 that California has a DMV, and to the best of my  
16 knowledge, the other four states do not have a DMV  
17 nomenclature.

18 Q You didn't disclose that anywhere in your  
19 report. Is there a particular reason why you didn't make  
20 an issue of that in preparing your report?

21 A You have to help me.

22 Q Is there a particular reason you chose --

23 A I heard you.

24 Q Let me withdraw the question.

25 Is there a particular reason you didn't disclose

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 that particular issue in your analysis?

2 A That the residents of Alabama, Arizona, Maryland  
3 and New Mexico do not have a DMV?

4 Q Yes, sir.

5 A There was no reason at all.

6 Q What's the point of having them in there at all?

7 A To repeat what I told you earlier, sir, to get  
8 the responses of people who do not have a DMV in their  
9 state, to see whether or not they responded differently  
10 to a DMV.ORG list.

11 Q Did you come to any conclusion on that point?

12 A I came to a conclusion that there's no  
13 difference.

14 Q Your survey, if it's acceptable, is of the  
15 opinion that people in a state with no DMV have no  
16 greater degree of recognition than people in a state that  
17 has a DMV?

18 MR. DAUCHER: Objection. Vague.

19 BY MR. MAKOUS:

20 Q Is that correct?

21 A No greater recognition? What do you mean by  
22 "recognition"?

23 Q You can say, "I don't understand your question."  
24 That's all right. If you don't understand my question,  
25 you can say so. It's all right.

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1           A     I don't understand what you mean by the term  
2     "recognition."

3           Q     Okay. Is it your view that your survey, if  
4     properly designed, comes to the conclusion or supports  
5     the opinion that people who live in states where there is  
6     no DMV have the same level of recognition of the term DMV  
7     as those that live in the state that does have a DMV?

8           A     If I understood what you just said, the answer  
9     to your question is, yes, I believe I saw no difference  
10    between the California respondents and the aggregated  
11    non-California respondents.

12          Q     Okay. Did you, in fact, add up the  
13    non-California respondents with the California  
14    respondents in coming to your conclusions?

15          A     Yes. They are in the 843.

16          Q     So you didn't separate, and as I understand your  
17    testimony today, you can't tell me how those 351 impacted  
18    your conclusions of the total 843 -- 834; is that right?

19          A     No, sir, that's not right.

20          Q     Okay.

21          A     Had I -- when I looked at the data in the  
22    spreadsheet screen differences, I would have reported and  
23    discussed the differences. I saw no differences.

24          Q     Okay. Do you think that 87 respondents is  
25    statistically reliable respondents to ascertain the

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 perception of people in the state of Alabama?

2 A I didn't attempt to report the results of the  
3 state of Alabama. I did look at respondents in four  
4 states that were non-DMV, states a total of 344 of them.  
5 I did not intend to, nor did I report or comment, nor  
6 intend to comment, on Alabamians.

7 Q Let's look at your report, paragraph 14. Is  
8 there an error in the number that you've identified 1. --  
9 you say 344. Let me withdraw the question.

10 Let's go through the various numbers. First  
11 total you identified is 834 respondents. Those are  
12 people who went through the screen on the Internet,  
13 accepted the willingness to do the Internet survey as the  
14 first requirement, correct --

15 A Correct.

16 Q -- that qualified through the screening  
17 questions that you had prepared, correct?

18 A Correct.

19 Q Total 834?

20 A 834 respondents completed the survey.

21 Q Of the 834, 490 were in the test group and only  
22 344 were in the control group. Do you have an  
23 explanation for the considerable disparity between those  
24 two numbers?

25 A I had originally intended to talk to 300 in the

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 test group in California and 200 in the control group in  
2 California. When I decided to add individual states, I  
3 decided to add 50/50 in each state, which led me to the  
4 disparity -- some of that disparity is simply response  
5 disparity over which I had no control.

6 Q Okay. Is there a particular reason that you  
7 felt it unnecessary to try to equalize those two groups,  
8 the test group and the control group? You said a second  
9 ago you wanted originally 300 in the test and 200 in the  
10 control. That's a 35-percent difference. Why did you  
11 design the survey with fewer in control by design than --  
12 fewer than the number in the test group?

13 A Because the control is -- I have to be very  
14 careful with my response. It's more important that I be  
15 able to, if I need to, drill down deeper in the test  
16 group than the control group. As it turns out, it was  
17 not necessary to drill down into either of those two  
18 groups. I specifically and usually, if I have a choice,  
19 elect to interview more people in a test group than I do  
20 in a control group.

21 Q Why?

22 A Because I may have to analyze, drill down deeper  
23 into, pull apart the data in the test group, and I want  
24 to make sure I have respondents to do so.

25 MR. DAUCHER: Counselor, can we take a lunch

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 break?

2 MR. MAKOUS: Just let me stay with this one  
3 area, then we will take a break.

4 BY MR. MAKOUS:

5 Q Mr. Hollander, are you doctor or Mr.?

6 A I'm Mr.

7 Q Don't want to misaddress you.

8 I'm going to write down what I'm understanding  
9 from this so-called rebuttal survey. I'm going to write  
10 down a list and try and get this squared away here.

11 There's 844 total, 490 in the test group and 344  
12 in the control group; is that correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q All right. Now, the next cluster of data as  
15 identified in the report is 483 were conducted with  
16 California residents, is that right, and 351 with  
17 nonresidents of California, right?

18 A Okay.

19 Q And that totals 834, those two numbers, correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Of the 483 that were Californians, how many of  
22 those were in the test group and how many were in the  
23 control group?

24 A I did not report that.

25 Q Okay. And how many of the 351 that are

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 non-California are in the test group and how many are in  
2 the control group?

3 A Once again, I have not reported.

4 Q How many residents of the state of Alabama were  
5 in the control group and how many were in the test group?

6 A I can give you a blanket response. I did not  
7 report that in any of the five states.

8 Q Okay. That will save me some questions.

9 Let's take a lunch break.

10 (Lunch break.)

11 MR. MAKOUS: Back on.

12 BY MR. MAKOUS:

13 Q You are still under oath, sir. You recognize  
14 that you are still under oath?

15 A Yes, I do.

16 Q Have you ever used Zoomerang as an Internet  
17 panel?

18 A No, I have not.

19 Q Do you have a criticism of Dr. Maronick using  
20 Zoomerang?

21 A I do not.

22 Q Now, in the process of selecting and designing  
23 your survey, selecting your stimuli and designing your  
24 survey, did you have any discussions with Mr. Daucher  
25 about testing the disclaimer on any of the pages --

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 Q For what purpose?

2 A To the end purpose of what does DMV.ORG  
3 communicate.

4 Q Okay. Are you isolating DMV.ORG from the  
5 content it's contained in, the pages on which it's  
6 presented as part of your consideration?

7 MR. DAUCHER: Vague.

8 THE WITNESS: I want to answer your question,  
9 but I'm not certain what you mean by isolating that. I  
10 presented respondents with four pages and I asked  
11 their -- take away their gestalt opinion after viewing  
12 these four pages. One of the elements was DMV.ORG. Many  
13 of the elements were not.

14 BY MR. MAKOUS:

15 Q Uh-huh. So did you come to an opinion about  
16 what DMV.ORG actually communicates to the purchasing  
17 public?

18 A What DMV or DMV.ORG?

19 Q DMV.ORG, the website name and domain name  
20 actually communicate to the purchasing public.

21 A I concluded it does not communicate affiliation  
22 in connection with sponsorship or endorsement.

23 Q By anybody?

24 A By darn close to that, but certainly by the  
25 Department of Motor Vehicles, the state or other

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 Q Did he say you were doing a good job in keeping  
2 up?

3 A Something like that.

4 Q Usual punch booster speech from counsel.

5 Did you attempt to test the belief of the public  
6 about whose website the DMV.ORG website is?

7 A Whose website? Well, it seems to me that  
8 tangentially I certainly did by asking if this website or  
9 any elements upon it were affiliated, sponsored or  
10 endorsed by anyone else. I guess the answer to your  
11 question is yes. Did I ask them a direct question whose  
12 website this is? I did not.

13 Q Why not?

14 A Because that was not the issue.

15 Q Really?

16 A That was not my understanding of the issue,  
17 Counselor.

18 Q Is it possible your understanding is confused on  
19 that issue?

20 A It is always possible that I'm confused.

21 Q You did not ask anywhere in any of your  
22 questions whose website is if it, did you?

23 MR. DAUCHER: Asked and answered.

24 THE WITNESS: I did not.

25 BY MR. MAKOUS:

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 Q In fact, your first question after the screening  
2 question was, as I read it in your report, quote, if you  
3 have an opinion, do you think that any of the entities  
4 shown on these four pages is affiliated, comma, with  
5 anyone else, comma, or that none of them are affiliated  
6 with anyone else, question mark. Correct?

7 A Correct.

8 Q Okay. That's not testing ownership of the  
9 website at all, is it?

10 A That is not asking a question about ownership.  
11 It is asking a question about affiliation.

12 Q Not of the website though, correct?

13 MR. MAKOUS: Don't say a word.

14 MR. DAUCHER: Don't point your finger at me.

15 MR. MAKOUS: Don't coach.

16 MR. DAUCHER: Don't point.

17 THE WITNESS: I truly don't need coaching.

18 BY MR. MAKOUS:

19 Q Tell me where it says -- tell me where it says,  
20 Mr. Hollander, you're testing the belief of the public as  
21 to whose website they are looking at.

22 A I never said I did. I repeatedly told you in  
23 this deposition, I have not.

24 Q Why not? Why didn't you test that?

25 A Because as I understood the issue, it was what a

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 reasonably prudent potential buyer --

2 MR. MAKOUS: I apologize for pointing, Brian.

3 THE WITNESS: -- believe that DMV.ORG is  
4 affiliated with or endorsed or sponsored by the  
5 Department of Motor Vehicles or some other state entity.

6 That is the question I set out to answer.

7 BY MR. MAKOUS:

8 Q But you didn't ask the direct question, who  
9 endorses this website, if anyone, or who sponsors this  
10 website, if anyone, or who owns this website, if anyone,  
11 did you?

12 A Asked and answered. No, I didn't.

13 Q All right. Is this a confusing question, the  
14 first question there?

15 A Which one?

16 Q The first question you answered.

17 A Is my question confusing?

18 Q Mr. Hollander, I understand this is a  
19 litigation. We both have to let other people finish.  
20 We're going to argue. Let's agree to disagree. Let me  
21 finish -- let me withdraw the partial question.

22 Do you believe that, Mr. Hollander, your  
23 question is confusing, the question being, quote, if you  
24 have an opinion, do you think that any of the entities  
25 shown on these four pages is affiliated, comma, with

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 anyone else, comma, or that none of them are affiliated  
2 with anyone else, question mark.

3 Do you believe that's confusing?

4 A I do not.

5 Q It's compound though, isn't it?

6 A It is a single with a negation of the single  
7 statement.

8 Q It's a what?

9 A It's a single statement with a negation of it or  
10 a question with a negation of it.

11 Q Do you believe that it's double-loaded?

12 A I don't know what double-loaded means.

13 Q You're an expert and you don't know what  
14 double-loaded means? I know that's argumentative.

15 A In answer to this question, I believe it's  
16 clear, unavoidably clear and not confused.

17 Q You had a lot of people that couldn't answer it,  
18 though, didn't you? You've got a lot of people that  
19 couldn't answer it at all in your survey results, didn't  
20 you?

21 A Help me with that, please, sir.

22 Q You had 34 percent had no opinion. 34 percent  
23 of your survey couldn't answer the first question, they  
24 had no opinion?

25 A Right, exactly. They had no opinion.

Kenneth Hollander - 8/21/2007

1 Q Now, do you find that to be a tarnishment, if  
2 you will, of your survey methodology?

3 A Not even close.

4 Q They couldn't even say "I don't know." They  
5 couldn't say they had just no opinion. You can't say,  
6 can you?

7 A Now may I answer?

8 Q Yes.

9 A I think there's a question there and I'm going  
10 to answer the question I think is in there. Before the  
11 survey began, I gave them explicit instructions not to  
12 guess and to tell me if they have no opinion; hence, why  
13 should I be surprised that some people didn't have an  
14 opinion when, in fact, they didn't have an opinion.

15 Q Did you ever attempt to determine if the public  
16 that you screened understood what the word entities  
17 means?

18 A Did I conduct a separate test of the meaning of  
19 the word entities? No, I did not.

20 Q If entities was inherently confusing, you would  
21 agree that your survey is flawed, wouldn't you?

22 A Actually no, because I have no evidence, nor is  
23 there any evidence that the word entity is confusing.

24 Q If I submit to you that it turns out it is  
25 confusing, you would agree then that your entire survey